

Graviton mass and total relative density of mass Ω_{tot} in Universe

S.S. Gershtein*, A.A. Logunov†, M.A. Mestvirishvili

Abstract

It is noticed that the total relative density of mass in the Universe Ω_{tot} should exceed 1, i.e. $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1 + f^2/6$ according to the field relativistic theory of gravity (RTG), which is free of the cosmological singularity and which provides the Euclidean character for the 3-dimensional space. Here f is the ratio of the graviton mass m_g to the contemporary value of the “Hubble mass” $m_H^0 = \hbar H_0/c^2 \simeq 3,8 \cdot 10^{-66} h(\text{g})$ ($h = 0,71 \pm 0,07$). Applying results of the experimental data processing presented in [1] an upper limit for the graviton mass is established as $m_g \leq 3,2 \cdot 10^{-66} \text{g}$ at the 95% confidence level.

Experimental data obtained in measuring angular characteristics of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) spectrum give us an opportunity to determine some cosmological parameters [2], including $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{\text{tot}}/\rho_c$, which is the ratio of density of all the matter species ρ_{tot} to the critical density $\rho_c = 3H_0^2/8\pi G$, where G is the gravitational constant and H_0 is the contemporary value of the Hubble constant [3]. The joint analysis of two recent experiments BOOMERANG-98 [4] and MAXIMA-1 [5] is provided in the article by A. Jaffe et al [1] with account for data of the previous experiment COBE DMR [6], and also with account for data obtained in studying supernova 1a (SN1a) [7] and large-scale structures of the Universe (LSS) [8]. Results of this analysis [1] show that the average value of Ω_{tot} systematically exceeds $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1$ for the combination of different experiments and at the confidence level 68% we have

$$\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1,11 \pm 0,7 \quad (68\% C.L.). \quad (1)$$

*gershtein@mx.ihep.su

†logunov@mx.ihep.su

This fact seems very designing. Indeed, according to the most popular now theory of inflationary expansion of the Universe at the early evolution stage Ω_{tot} should be equal to 1 with the great accuracy (that guarantees flatness for the spatial geometry). Therefore, though Ω_{tot} equals

$$\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1,11^{+0.13}_{-0.12} \quad (95\%C.L)^{[1]} \quad (2)$$

in the extended error interval and this does not contradict the inflationary expansion model, it is important to note that according to the field relativistic theory of gravitation (RTG) [9], whose equations provide the Euclidean character of the 3-dimensional space, value of Ω_{tot} should obligatory exceed 1, and this fact has a fundamental meaning.

RTG begins with the statement that the source of the gravitational field is the conserved (in Minkowski space) total energy-momentum tensor, which incorporates the gravitational contribution. In this respect RTG is similar to modern gauge theories of electroweak interactions and QCD, where conserved currents are sources for the vector fields. It follows from the mentioned approach, that the gravitational field should be described by symmetric tensor of second rank $\varphi^{\mu\nu}$. This fact, in its turn, leads to the opportunity of a geometrization of the theory taking into account the universal character of the gravitational field. Then the motion of matter caused by the gravitational field in Minkowski space appears as a motion in the effective Riemannian space with the metric tensor density $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$, determined by the following equation

$$\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\varphi}^{\mu\nu}; \quad \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}; \quad g = \det(\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}) = \det(g_{\mu\nu}), \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^{\mu\nu}$ are metric tensor density and gravitational field tensor density in Minkowski space. We are to stress that the mentioned field approach necessarily requires nonzero graviton mass m_g , because in the opposite case the metric tensor of Minkowski space disappears from the field equations and we stay with the Riemannian space only. The gravitational field system of equations takes in RTG the following form [9]

$$\left(R_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\mu R \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_g c}{\hbar} \right)^2 \left(\delta_\nu^\mu + g^{\mu\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_\nu^\mu g^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \right) = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T_\nu^\mu, \quad (4)$$

$$D_\mu \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where R_ν^μ and R are corresponding curvatures of the “effective” Riemannian space, T_ν^μ is the energy-momentum tensor of matter in this space, and D_μ

is the covariant derivative in the Minkowski space. Equations (4) and (5) are covariant under arbitrary coordinate transformations and form-invariant under Lorentz transformations. They correctly describe all the gravitational effects, observed in the Solar system.

It is important to mention, that equation (5) arises in this approach not as a supplementary condition, but as a consequence of the gravitational field equations and the total energy-momentum conservation law.

After taking the interval of the effective Riemannian space for the homogeneous and isotropic Universe in the following form

$$ds^2 = U(t)dt^2 - V(t) \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\Theta^2 + \sin^2 \Theta d\Phi^2) \right], \quad (6)$$

(where $k = 1, -1, 0$ for the closed, hyperbolic and “flat” Universe respectively) we obtain from equations (5)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sqrt{\frac{V^3}{U}} = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } V = \beta U^{1/3}, \quad \beta = \text{const}, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r^2(1 - kr^2)^{1/2}] - 2r(1 - kr^2)^{-1/2} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) is true only if $k = 0$. Therefore, according to RTG, the spatial geometry of the Universe should be flat for all the stages of its evolution (irrespective of the fact whether the inflationary expansion stage does take place or does not).

After transforming to the proper time $cd\tau = U^{1/2}dt$ and denoting $a^2(\tau) = U^{1/3}$, we can express interval (6) in the following form

$$ds^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 - \beta a^2(\tau) [dr^2 + r^2(d\Theta^2 + \sin^2 \Theta d\Phi^2)]. \quad (9)$$

Equations (4) take the following form for scale factor $a(\tau)$

$$\left(\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{\omega}{a^6} \left(1 - \frac{3a^4}{\beta} + 2a^6 \right); \quad \omega = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{m_g c^2}{\hbar} \right)^2; \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{d\tau^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left(\rho + \frac{3P}{c^2} \right) - 2\omega \left(1 - \frac{1}{a^6} \right). \quad (11)$$

The constant β , appearing in expressions (9)-(10), has a simple meaning. According to the causality principle, the matter motion in the Minkowski

space could not be processed outside the causality cone of this space. This leads to the following condition $a^4(\tau) \leq \beta$, i.e. $\beta = a_{\max}^4$.

Thus, according to RTG, the expansion of the Universe can not be unlimited. So, this excludes a possibility to explain the accelerated expansion of the Universe, which is now observed, on the base of the assumption of a nonzero vacuum energy. This looks quite natural because the vacuum energy density should be identically zero in the field treatment of the gravitational field. From the viewpoint of RTG an assumption of existence of a special substance called quintessence [10] is quite suitable for an explanation of the observed acceleration. Its equation of state should be of the following form

$$P = -(1 - \nu)\rho_q; \quad (0 < \nu < 2/3), \quad (12)$$

and its density should decrease according to $\rho_q \sim 1/a^{3\nu}$. (This opportunity has been pointed out by Kalashnikov [11].) It follows from equation (10), that the maximal expansion of the Universe ($\frac{da}{d\tau} = 0$ $a \gg 1$) is reached at density $\rho_{\min} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(\frac{m_g c^2}{\hbar} \right)^2$. From equation (10) it is also evident, that according to RTG the cosmological singularity is also excluded, because, due to the positive definiteness of l.h.s. of the equation, the increase of the matter density at the radiation-dominant stage as $\rho \sim 1/a^4$ under $a \rightarrow 0$ should compensate the negative term in r.h.s., increasing as (ω/a^6) , at some minimal value $a_{\min} \neq 0$.

Thus, the nonzero graviton mass in RTG leads to the cyclewise character of the Universe evolution, excluding both the cosmological singularity, and the possibility of an unlimited expansion of the Universe, and providing also at all the stages of evolution the flat geometry for 3-dimensional space. However, we have $\Omega_{\text{tot}} > 1$. Indeed, by writing equation (10) for the contemporary stage ($a \gg 1$) and dividing both sides of it by the Hubble constant H_0^2 , we obtain

$$\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1 + f^2/6; \quad f = \frac{m_g c^2}{\hbar H_0} = \frac{m_g}{m_H^0} \quad (13)$$

where m_H^0 could be called ‘‘Hubble mass’’:

$$m_H^0 = \frac{\hbar H_0}{c^2} = \hbar 3.8 \cdot 10^{-66} \text{g} \simeq 2.7 \cdot 10^{-66} \text{g} \quad (h = 0.71), \quad (14)$$

From (2) and (13) it follows $f^2/6 \leq 0.24$, i.e.

$$m_g \leq 1.2 m_H^0 = 3.2 \cdot 10^{-66} \text{g} \quad (95\% C.L.). \quad (15)$$

If we use (1), then $0.04 \leq \frac{f^2}{6} \leq 0.18$ and, consequently, the graviton mass can be estimated as follows

$$m_g = 2.2_{0.9}^{+0.6} \cdot 10^{-66} \text{ g (68\%C.L)} \quad (16)$$

The closeness of values $m_g \simeq m_H^0$ rises a question: is this coincidence accidental or it has a more fundamental nature? It should be noticed that the estimates obtained here do not contain any model suggestions (besides the hypothesis that the gravitational field could be treated as a physical field in Minkowski space and its source is the total energy-momentum tensor, incorporating the gravitational field contribution).

The authors express their sincere gratitude to V.A. Petrov, N.P. Tkachenko and N.E. Tyurin for fruitful discussions. One of the authors (S.S.G.) is grateful for support to RFBR (Grants No. 01-02 16585 and 00-15 96645).

References

- [1] *Jaffe A.H. et al.*// Phys. Rev. Lett. 2001. V.86. P.3475.
- [2] *Jungman G. et al.*// Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996. V.76. P.1007; *Jungman G. et al.*// Phys. Rev. 1996. V.D54. P.1332; *Bond J.R., Efstathiou G. and Tegmark M.*// Mon Not. R. Astron. Soc. 1997. V.291. P.L33.
- [3] Particles and Fields. Phys. Rev. D. 2002. V.66,
- [4] de Bernardis P. et al.// Nature. 2000. V.404. P.995.
- [5] Hanamy S. et al.// Astrophys. J. 2000. V.545. P.L5.
- [6] Bennet C. et al.// Astrophys. J. 1996. V.464. P.L1.
- [7] Riess A.G. et al.// Astron. J. 199. V.116. P.1009. Permuter S. et al.// Astrophys. J. 1999. V.517. P.565.
- [8] *Bond J.R. and Jaffe A.H.*// Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. 1999. V.357. P.57; Lange A.E. et al. astro-ph/0005004.
- [9] Logunov A. A. and Mestvirishvili M.A. The Relativistic Theory of Gravitation. Moscow: Mir. 1989. *Logunov A.A.* The Theory of Gravity. Moscow: Nauka. 2001.
- [10] *Caldwell R.R., Dave R. and Steinhardt P.J.*// Phys. Rev. Lett. 1998. V.80. P.1592. *Ostriker J.P., and Steinhardt P.J.*// Sci. Am. 2001.
- [11] *Kalashnikov V.L.* gr-qc/0109060; gr-qc/0202084.

Note added. Since this article had been sent to the journal Doklady Akademii Nauk, preliminary results from WMAP appeared (arXiv:astro-ph/0302207 of February 12, 2003) which presented data of more precise measurements: $\Omega_{tot} = 1.02 \pm 0.02$. These data permit to strengthen the upper limit for the graviton mass. At the level of 2σ $f^2/6 \leq 0.06$, i.e. $m_g \leq 0.6m_H^0 = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-66}$ g.